

FILTER-REGULAR SEQUENCES AND MIXED MULTIPLICITIES¹

Nguyen Tien Manh and Duong Quoc Viet

Department of Mathematics, Hanoi University of Education

136-Xuan Thuy Street, Hanoi, Vietnam

E-mail: duongquocviet@fmail.vnn.vn

ABSTRACT: Let S be a finitely generated standard multigraded algebra over an Artinian local ring A ; M a finitely generated multigraded S -module. This paper answers to the question when mixed multiplicities of M are positive and characterizes them in terms of lengths of A -modules. As an application, we get interesting results on mixed multiplicities of ideals, and recover some early results in [Te] and [TV].

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ denote an Artinian local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, infinite residue field $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$; $S = \bigoplus_{n_1, \dots, n_d \geq 0} S_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}$ ($d > 0$) a finitely generated standard d -graded algebra over A (i.e., S is generated over A by elements of total degree 1); $M = \bigoplus_{n_1, \dots, n_d \geq 0} M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}$ a finitely generated d -graded S -module. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{b}^\infty &= \bigcup_{n \geq 0} (\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{b}^n); \\ S^\Delta &= \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} S_{(n, \dots, n)}; \quad S_+^\Delta = \bigoplus_{n > 0} S_{(n, \dots, n)}; \\ S_+ &= \bigoplus_{n_1 + \dots + n_d > 0} S_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}; \quad S_{++} = \bigoplus_{n_1, \dots, n_d > 0} S_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}; \\ S_i &= S_{(0, \dots, \underbrace{1}_i, \dots, 0)} \quad (i = 1, \dots, d); \\ M^\Delta &= \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M_{(n, \dots, n)}; \quad \ell = \dim M^\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $\text{Proj } S$ the set of the homogeneous prime ideals of S which do not contain S_{++} . Set

$$\text{Supp}_{++} M = \{P \in \text{Proj } S \mid M_P \neq 0\}.$$

By [HHRT, Theorem 4.1] and Remark 3.1, $\dim \text{Supp}_{++} M = \ell - 1$ and $l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}]$ is a polynomial of degree $\ell - 1$ for all large $n_1, \dots, n_d$ (see Remark 3.1, Section 3).

¹

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): Primary 13H15. Secondary 13A02, 13E05, 13E10, 14C17.

Key words and phrases : Artinian ring, multiplicity, multigraded module, filter-regular sequence.

The terms of total degree $\ell - 1$ in this polynomial have the form

$$\sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_d = \ell - 1} e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) \frac{n_1^{k_1} \dots n_d^{k_d}}{k_1! \dots k_d!}.$$

Then $e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d)$ are non-negative integers not all zero, called the *mixed multiplicity of type $(k_1, \dots, k_d)$ of M* [HHRT].

In the case that $(R, \mathfrak{n})$ is a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}$, J is an $\mathfrak{n}$ -primary ideal, $I_1, \dots, I_s$ are ideals of R . Then

$$T = \bigoplus_{n, n_1, \dots, n_s \geq 0} \frac{J^n I_1^{n_1} \dots I_s^{n_s}}{J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1} \dots I_s^{n_s}}$$

is a finitely generated standard multigraded algebra over Artinian local ring R/J . Mixed multiplicities of T are called mixed multiplicities of ideals $J, I_1, \dots, I_s$ (see [Ve] or [HHRT]).

The theory mixed multiplicities of $\mathfrak{n}$ -primary ideals was introduced by Risler and Teissier in 1973 [Te], by Rees in 1984 [Re]. In past years, the positivity and the relationship between mixed multiplicities and Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity of ideals have attracted much attention (see e.g. [Sw], [Ro], [KR1, KR2], [KV], [Vi], [Tr1], [Tr2], [MV], [TV]).

We turn now to the positivity of mixed multiplicities of a multigraded module. In the geometric context, this problem was introduced by Kleiman and Thorup in 1996 [KT]. In the algebraic setting, it was investigated by Trung in 2001 [Tr2] in the case of a bigraded ring.

Under a totally algebraic point of view, this paper answers to the question when mixed multiplicities of multigraded modules are positive and characterizes these mixed multiplicities in terms of lengths of modules. Our approach is based on the properties of filter-regular sequences. The notion of filter-regular sequences was introduced by Stuckrad and Vogel in their book [SV]. The theory of filter-regular sequences became an important tool to study some classes of singular rings and has been continually developed (see e.g. [Tr1], [BS], [Hy], [Tr2]). As one might expect, we obtain the following result.

Main theorem (Theorem 3.4). *Let S be a finitely generated standard d -graded algebra over an Artinian local ring A and M a finitely generated d -graded S -module such that $S_{(1,1,\dots,1)}$ is not contained in $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_S M}$. Set $\ell = \dim M^\Delta$. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *$e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) \neq 0$ if and only if there exists an S_{++} -filter-regular sequence $x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1}$ with respect to M consisting of k_1 elements of $S_1, \dots, k_d$ elements of S_d and $\dim \overline{M}^\Delta = 1$, where $\overline{M} = \frac{M}{(x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1})M}$.*
- (ii) *Suppose that $e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) \neq 0$ and $x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1}$ is an S_{++} -filter-regular sequence with respect to M consisting of k_1 elements of $S_1, \dots, k_d$ elements of S_d . Set $\overline{M} = \frac{M}{(x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1})M}$ and $r = r(S_+^\Delta; \overline{M}^\Delta)$ the reduction number of S_+^Δ with respect to $\overline{M}^\Delta$. Then $e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) = l_A \left[\frac{\overline{M}_n^\Delta}{(0_{\overline{M}^\Delta} : S_+^{\Delta\infty})_n} \right]$ for all $n \geq r$.*

As interesting consequences of main result, we get results on mixed multiplicities of ideals (Proposition 4.4 and Theorem 4.5), and recover the results of Risler and Teissier [Te](see Remark 4.8), Trung and Verma [TV](see Remark 4.6).

2. On Filter-Regular Sequences

Recently, filter-regular sequences have been used to investigate mixed multiplicities and joint reduction numbers in bigraded rings (see e.g. [Hy], [Tr2]). This section gives some properties of filter-regular sequences in multigraded modules.

Definition 2.1. *Let $S = \bigoplus_{n_1, \dots, n_d \geq 0} S_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}$ be a finitely generated standard d -graded algebra over an Artinian local ring A ; $M = \bigoplus_{n_1, \dots, n_d \geq 0} M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}$ a finitely generated d -graded S -module such that $S_{(1,1,\dots,1)}$ is not contained in $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_S M}$. A homogeneous element $x \in S$ is called an S_{++} -filter-regular element with respect to M if $x \notin P$ for any $P \in \text{Ass}_S M$ and P does not contain S_{++} . That means*

$$x \notin \bigcup_{S_{++} \not\subseteq P, P \in \text{Ass}_S M} P.$$

Let $x_1, \dots, x_t$ be homogeneous elements in S . We call that $x_1, \dots, x_t$ is an S_{++} -filter-regular sequence with respect to M if x_i is an S_{++} -filter-regular element with respect to $\frac{M}{(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, t$.

Now, we briefly give some comments on filter-regular sequences of a finitely generated multigraded module.

Note: Since $\text{Ass}_S[M/(0_M : S_{++}^\infty)] = \{P \in \text{Ass}_S M \mid S_{++} \not\subseteq P\}$, it follows that a homogeneous element $x \in S$ is an S_{++} -filter-regular element if and only if x is non-zero-divisor in $M/(0_M : S_{++}^\infty)$. Moreover, we have the following notes.

- (i) It is easy to see that a homogeneous element $x \in S$ is an S_{++} -filter-regular element with respect to M if and only if $0_M : x \subseteq 0_M : S_{++}^\infty$.
- (ii) If $S_{(1,1,\dots,1)} \subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_S M}$ then $0_M : S_{++}^\infty = M$. Hence for any homogeneous element $x \in S$, we always have $0_M : x \subseteq 0_M : S_{++}^\infty$. This only obstructs and does not carry usefulness. That is why in Definition 2.1, one has to exclude the case that $S_{(1,1,\dots,1)} \subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_S M}$.
- (iii) An S_{++} -filter-regular sequence $x_1, \dots, x_t$ with respect to M is a maximal S_{++} -filter-regular sequence if

$$S_{(1,1,\dots,1)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_S[M/(x_1, \dots, x_{t-1})M]}$$

$$\text{and } S_{(1,1,\dots,1)} \subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_S[M/(x_1, \dots, x_t)M]}.$$

Let $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_d), \mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. We recall that $\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}$ if $m_i \leq n_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let N be an d -graded S -submodule of M . Then there exist positive integers $u_1, \dots, u_d$ such that $N_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} = S_{(n_1 - u_1, \dots, n_d - u_d)} N_{(u_1, \dots, u_d)}$ for all $n_1 \geq u_1, \dots, n_d \geq u_d$.*

Proof. Since M is a finitely generated S -module and S is a Noetherian ring, N is also a finitely generated S -module. It implies that there exist positive integers $u_1, \dots, u_d$ such that N is generated by $\bigcup_{v_1 \leq u_1, \dots, v_d \leq u_d} N_{(v_1, \dots, v_d)}$. Set $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_d)$, $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_d)$, $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d)$. We have

$$N_{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \leq \mathbf{u}} S_{\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{v}} N_{\mathbf{v}} \supseteq S_{\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{u}} N_{\mathbf{u}}$$

for all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{u}$. Since S is a finitely generated standard d -graded ring, $S_{\mathbf{m}} S_{\mathbf{n}} = S_{\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}}$ for all $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}$. It follows that $S_{\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{v}} N_{\mathbf{v}} = S_{\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{u}} (S_{\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}} N_{\mathbf{v}}) \subseteq S_{\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{u}} N_{\mathbf{u}}$ for all $\mathbf{v} \leq \mathbf{u}$. Hence $N_{\mathbf{n}} \subseteq S_{\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{u}} N_{\mathbf{u}}$ for all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{u}$. So $N_{\mathbf{n}} = S_{\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{u}} N_{\mathbf{u}}$ for all $\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{u}$. ■

Remark 2.3. Since M is Noetherian, there exists a positive integer n such that $0_M : S_{++}^\infty = 0_M : S_{++}^n$. By Lemma 2.2, there exist positive integers $u_1, \dots, u_d$ such that

$$[0_M : S_{++}^n]_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} = S_{(n_1 - u_1, \dots, n_d - u_d)}[0_M : S_{++}^n]_{(u_1, \dots, u_d)}$$

for all $n_1 \geq u_1, \dots, n_d \geq u_d$. This fact follows that $[0_M : S_{++}^n]_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} = 0$ for all $n_1 \geq n + u_1, \dots, n_d \geq n + u_d$. Hence $(0_M : S_{++}^\infty)_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} = 0$ for all large $n_1, \dots, n_d$.

The following characteristic of filter-regular sequences will often be used.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $x \in S$ be a homogeneous element. Then x is an S_{++} -filter-regular element with respect to M if and only if $(0_M : x)_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} = 0$ for all large $n_1, \dots, n_d$.*

Proof. Suppose that x is an S_{++} -filter-regular element with respect to M . Then $0_M : x \subseteq 0_M : S_{++}^\infty$ by Note (i). By Remark 2.3,

$$(0_M : x)_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} \subseteq (0_M : S_{++}^\infty)_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} = 0$$

for all large $n_1, \dots, n_d$. Now assume that $(0_M : x)_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} = 0$ for all large $n_1, \dots, n_d$. It is enough to prove that $(0_M : x)_{(v_1, \dots, v_d)} \subseteq 0_M : S_{++}^\infty$ for all $v_1, \dots, v_d$. Indeed, if $a \in (0_M : x)_{(v_1, \dots, v_d)}$ then $xS_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}a = 0$ for every $n_1, \dots, n_d$. Hence

$$S_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}a \subseteq (0_M : x)_{(n_1 + v_1, \dots, n_d + v_d)} = 0$$

for large $n_1, \dots, n_d$. It implies that $a \in 0_M : S_{++}^n$ for all large n . Hence $a \in 0_M : S_{++}^\infty$. So $(0_M : x)_{(v_1, \dots, v_d)} \subseteq 0_M : S_{++}^\infty$ for all $v_1, \dots, v_d$. Hence x is an S_{++} -filter-regular element with respect to M . ■

Remark 2.5. Suppose that $x \in S_{(a_1, \dots, a_d)}$ is an S_{++} -filter-regular element with respect to M . Consider

$$\lambda_x : M_{(n_1 - a_1, \dots, n_d - a_d)} \longrightarrow xM_{(n_1 - a_1, \dots, n_d - a_d)}, y \mapsto xy.$$

It is clear that λ_x is surjective and $\text{Ker} \lambda_x = (0_M : x)_{(n_1 - a_1, \dots, n_d - a_d)}$. Since x is an S_{++} -filter-regular element with respect to M , $\text{Ker} \lambda_x = 0$ for all large $n_1, \dots, n_d$ by Proposition 2.4. Therefore, $xM_{(n_1 - a_1, \dots, n_d - a_d)} \cong M_{(n_1 - a_1, \dots, n_d - a_d)}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} l_A[(M/xM)_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}] &= l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}] - l_A[xM_{(n_1 - a_1, \dots, n_d - a_d)}] \\ &= l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}] - l_A[M_{(n_1 - a_1, \dots, n_d - a_d)}] \end{aligned}$$

for all large $n_1, \dots, n_d$.

Next, we show that the existence of filter-regular sequences is universal.

Proposition 2.6. *Assume that $S_{(1,1,\dots,1)}$ is not contained in $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_S M}$. Then for each $i = 1, \dots, d$, there exists an S_{++} -filter-regular element $x \in S_i$ with respect to M .*

Proof. Set $M^* = M/(0_M : S_{++}^\infty)$. Note that

$$\text{Ass}_S M^* = \{P \in \text{Ass}_S M \mid S_1 \cdots S_d = S_{(1,1,\dots,1)} \not\subseteq P\},$$

$S_i \not\subseteq P \in \text{Ass}_S M^*$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$. Since k is an infinite field and $\text{Ass}_S M^*$ is finite. Hence for each $i = 1, \dots, d$, there exists $x \in S_i \setminus \bigcup_{P \in \text{Ass}_S M^*} P$. On the other hand $S_{(1,1,\dots,1)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_S M}$, x is an S_{++} -filter-regular element with respect to M . ■

Suppose that $S_{(1,1,\dots,1)}$ is not contained in $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_S M}$. Then $S_{(u,\dots,u)}M \neq 0$ for all $u > 0$. Hence for any $u > 0$, there exist $m_1, \dots, m_d$ such that $S_{(u,\dots,u)}M_{(m_1,\dots,m_d)} \neq 0$. Since $S_{(u,\dots,u)}M_{(m_1,\dots,m_d)} \subseteq M_{(m_1+u,\dots,m_d+u)}$, $M_{(m_1+u,\dots,m_d+u)} \neq 0$. So for any $u > 0$, there exist $n_1, \dots, n_d \geq u$ such that $M_{(n_1,\dots,n_d)} \neq 0$. Now, assume that for each $u > 0$, there exist $n_1, \dots, n_d \geq u$ such that $M_{(n_1,\dots,n_d)} \neq 0$. We will show that $S_{(1,1,\dots,1)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_S M}$. Indeed, if $S_{(1,1,\dots,1)} \subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_S M}$ then $S_{(n,\dots,n)}M = 0$ for some n . By Lemma 2.2, there exist positive integers $u_1, \dots, u_d$ such that

$$M_{(n_1,\dots,n_d)} = S_{(n_1-u_1,\dots,n_d-u_d)}M_{(u_1,\dots,u_d)}$$

for all $n_1 \geq u_1, \dots, n_d \geq u_d$. Hence

$$M_{(n_1,\dots,n_d)} = S_{(n_1-n-u_1,\dots,n_d-n-u_d)}S_{(n,\dots,n)}M_{(u_1,\dots,u_d)} = 0$$

for all $n_1 \geq n + u_1, \dots, n_d \geq n + u_d$. This contradicts the hypothesis. So $S_{(1,1,\dots,1)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_S M}$. From these facts, we get the following proposition.

Proposition 2.7. *Let S be a finitely generated standard d -graded algebra over an Artinian local ring A ; M a finitely generated d -graded S -module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $S_{(1,1,\dots,1)}$ is contained in $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_S M}$.
- (ii) $M_{(n_1,\dots,n_d)} = 0$ for all large $n_1, \dots, n_d$.

Let $S = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} S_n$ be a finitely generated standard graded algebra over an Artinian local ring A and J a homogeneous ideal of S is generated by elements of degree 1. Let $M = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M_n$ be a finitely generated graded S -module. Set $S_+ = \bigoplus_{n > 0} S_n$. We call J a *reduction of S_+ with respect to M* if $(JM)_n = M_n$ for all large n . The least integer n such that $(JM)_{n+1} = M_{n+1}$ is called *the reduction number of S_+ with*

respect to J and M [NR]. We denote this integer by $r_J(S_+; M)$. A reduction J of S_+ with respect to M is called a *minimal reduction* if it does not properly contain any other reduction of S_+ with respect to M . The *reduction number* of S_+ with respect to M is defined by

$$r(S_+; M) = \min\{r_J(S_+; M) \mid J \text{ is a minimal reduction of } S_+ \text{ with respect to } M\}.$$

The following lemma will determine the relationship between filter-regular sequences and minimal reductions in graded rings and modules.

Lemma 2.8 (see [Tr1]). *Let $S = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} S_n$ be a finitely generated standard graded algebra over an Artinian local ring A ; $M = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M_n$ a finitely generated graded S -module such that S_1 is not contained in $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_S M}$. Set $\ell = \dim M$. Assume that J is a minimal reduction of S_+ with respect to M . Then J is generated by an S_+ -filter-regular sequence with respect to M consisting of ℓ homogeneous elements of degree 1.*

Denote by $r(S_+; M)$ the reduction number of S_+ with respect to M . The following lemma makes up an important role in establishing the relationship between mixed multiplicities of multigraded modules and the length of modules.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $S = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} S_n$ be a finitely generated standard graded algebra over an Artinian local ring A ; $M = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M_n$ a finitely generated graded S -module such that S_1 is not contained in $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_S M}$. Assume that $\dim M = 1$. Set $r = r(S_+; M)$ and $M^* = \frac{M}{0_M : S_+^\infty}$. Then*

- (i) $l_A(M_n^*) = l_A(M_r^*)$ for all $n \geq r$.
- (ii) $l_A(M_n) = l_A(M_r^*)$ for all large n .

Proof. Since

$$l_A(M_n^*) = l_A \left[\frac{M_n}{(0_M : S_+^\infty)_n} \right] = l_A(M_n) - l_A[(0_M : S_+^\infty)_n]$$

and $(0_M : S_+^\infty)_n = 0$ for all large n by Remark 2.3, $l_A(M_n^*) = l_A(M_n)$ for all large n .

The proof of (i): Since $\dim M = 1$, by Lemma 2.8, there exists an S_+ -filter-regular element $x \in S_1$ with respect to M such that xS is a minimal reduction of S_+ with respect to M and $r = r_{xS}(S_+; M)$. It is clear that $M_n^* = x^{n-r} M_r^*$ for all $n \geq r$. Therefore,

$$l_A(M_n^*) = l_A(x^{n-r} M_r^*)$$

for all $n \geq r$. Since x is an S_+ -filter-regular element, x is non-zero-divisor in M^* . It follows that $x^{n-r}M_r^* \cong M_r^*$. Hence

$$l_A(M_n^*) = l_A(x^{n-r}M_r^*) = l_A(M_r^*)$$

for all $n \geq r$.

The proof of (ii): Since $l_A(M_n^*) = l_A(M_n)$ for all large n and by (i), we immediately obtain $l_A(M_n) = l_A(M_r^*)$ for all large n . ■

3. Mixed Multiplicities of Multigraded Modules

Basing on the properties of filter-regular sequences (Section 2), this section answers to the question when mixed multiplicities of multigraded modules are positive and characterizes them in terms of lengths of modules.

Recall that a polynomial $P(n_1, \dots, n_d)$ is called the *Hilbert polynomial of* the function $l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}]$ if $P(n_1, \dots, n_d) = l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}]$ for all large $n_1, \dots, n_d$.

Remark 3.1. Set $\ell = \dim M^\Delta$. Denote by $P(n_1, \dots, n_d)$ the Hilbert polynomial of

$$l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}].$$

Assume that $\ell > 0$. By [HHRT, Theorem 4.1], $\deg P(n_1, \dots, n_d) = \dim \text{Supp}_{++} M$ and all coefficients of monomials of highest degree in $P(n_1, \dots, n_d)$ are non-negative integers not all zero (see [Ba]). So $\deg P(n_1, \dots, n_d) = \deg P(n, \dots, n)$. Since

$$P(n, \dots, n) = l_A[M_{(n, \dots, n)}] = l_A(M_n^\Delta)$$

for all large n ,

$$\deg P(n, \dots, n) = \dim M^\Delta - 1 = \ell - 1.$$

Hence $\deg P(n_1, \dots, n_d) = \dim \text{Supp}_{++} M = \ell - 1$.

Remark 3.2. $\dim M^\Delta = \ell > 0$ if and only if $S_{(1,1,\dots,1)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_S M}$. Indeed, $\dim M^\Delta = 0$ is equivalent to $[M^\Delta]_n = 0$ for all large n . The latter means the same as $M_{(n, \dots, n)} = 0$ for all large n , but it is easily seen to be equivalent to $M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} = 0$ for all large $n_1, \dots, n_d$. Hence $\dim M^\Delta = 0$ if and only if $S_{(1,1,\dots,1)} \subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_S M}$ by Proposition 2.7.

Denote by $L(M)$ the set of the lengths of maximal S_{++} -filter-regular sequences in $\bigcup_{j=1}^d S_j$ with respect to M . Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. *Let S be a finitely generated standard d -graded algebra over an Artinian local ring A and M a finitely generated d -graded S -module such that $S_{(1,1,\dots,1)}$ is not contained in $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_S M}$. Set $\ell = \dim M^\Delta$. Assume that*

$$e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) \neq 0,$$

where $k_1, \dots, k_d$ are non-negative integers such that $k_1 + \dots + k_d = \ell - 1$. Then the following statements hold.

(i) *If $k_i > 0$ and $x \in S_i$ is an S_{++} -filter-regular element with respect to M then*

$$e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) = e(M/xM; k_1, \dots, k_i - 1, \dots, k_d)$$

$$\text{and } \dim(M/xM)^\Delta = \ell - 1.$$

(ii) *There exists an S_{++} -filter-regular sequence in $\bigcup_{j=1}^d S_j$ with respect to M consisting of k_1 elements of $S_1, \dots, k_d$ elements of S_d .*

(iii) $\max L(M) = \ell$.

Proof. Denote by $P(n_1, \dots, n_d)$ the polynomial of $l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}]$. Since

$$S_{(1,1,\dots,1)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_S M},$$

by Remark 3.1 and Remark 3.2 we have $\deg P = \ell - 1 \geq 0$.

The proof of (i): By Remark 2.5,

$$l_A[(M/xM)_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}] = l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}] - l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_i-1, \dots, n_d)}]$$

for all large $n_1, \dots, n_d$. Denote by $Q(n_1, \dots, n_d)$ the polynomial of

$$l_A[(M/xM)_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}].$$

From the above fact, we have

$$Q(n_1, \dots, n_d) = P(n_1, \dots, n_i, \dots, n_d) - P(n_1, \dots, n_i - 1, \dots, n_d).$$

Since $e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) \neq 0$ and $k_i > 0$, we get $\deg Q = \deg P - 1$ and

$$e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) = e(M/xM; k_1, \dots, k_i - 1, \dots, k_d).$$

By Remark 3.1, $\deg Q = \dim(M/xM)^\Delta - 1$. Therefore

$$\dim(M/xM)^\Delta = \deg Q + 1.$$

Since $\deg Q = \deg P - 1$ and $\deg P = \ell - 1$, $\deg Q = \ell - 2$. Hence

$$\dim(M/xM)^\Delta = \deg Q + 1 = (\ell - 2) + 1 = \ell - 1.$$

The proof of (ii): Since $S_{(1,1,\dots,1)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_S M}$, $\ell \geq 1$ by Remark 3.2. The proof is by induction on ℓ . For $\ell = 1$, the result is trivial. Assume that $\ell > 1$. Since $k_1 + \dots + k_d = \ell - 1 > 0$, there exists $k_j > 0$. By Proposition 2.6, there exists an S_{++} -filter-regular element $x_1 \in S_j$ with respect to M . By (i),

$$e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) = e(M/x_1 M; k_1, \dots, k_j - 1, \dots, k_d).$$

Since $k_1 + \dots + (k_j - 1) + \dots + k_d = \ell - 2$, by the inductive assumption, there exists an S_{++} -filter-regular sequence $x_2, \dots, x_{\ell-1} \in \bigcup_{j=1}^d S_j$ with respect to $M/x_1 M$ consisting of k_1 elements of $S_1, \dots, (k_j - 1)$ elements of $S_j, \dots, k_d$ elements of S_d . Hence

$$x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1} \in \bigcup_{j=1}^d S_j$$

is an S_{++} -filter-regular sequence with respect to M consisting of k_1 elements of $S_1, \dots, k_j$ elements of $S_j, \dots, k_d$ elements of S_d .

The proof of (iii): We first show that $\max L(M) \geq \ell$. By (ii), there exists an S_{++} -filter-regular sequence $x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1} \in \bigcup_{j=1}^d S_j$ with respect to M consisting of k_1 elements of $S_1, \dots, k_d$ elements of S_d . By (i),

$$e(M/(x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1})M; 0, \dots, 0) = e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) \neq 0.$$

Since $e(M/(x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1})M; 0, \dots, 0) \neq 0$, it follows that

$$\dim[M/(x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1})M]^\Delta > 0.$$

Hence by Remark 3.2,

$$S_{(1,1,\dots,1)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_S[M/(x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1})M]}.$$

By Proposition 2.6, there exists an S_{++} -filter-regular element $x_\ell \in \bigcup_{j=1}^d S_j$ with respect to $M/(x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1})M$. Hence $x_1, \dots, x_\ell$ is an S_{++} -filter-regular sequence in $\bigcup_{j=1}^d S_j$ with respect to M . Since the length of this sequence is ℓ , $\max L(M) \geq \ell$. Now, we need to show that $\max L(M) \leq \ell$. Note that $\ell = \deg P + 1$. We will prove that $\max L(M) \leq \deg P + 1$ by induction on $\deg P$. For each $j = 1, \dots, d$, suppose that $x_1 \in S_j$ is an S_{++} -filter-regular element with respect to M . Denote by $Q_1(n_1, \dots, n_d)$ the polynomial of $l_A[(M/x_1 M)_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}]$. By Remark 2.5,

$$l_A[(M/x_1 M)_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}] = l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_j, \dots, n_d)}] - l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_j-1, \dots, n_d)}]$$

for all large $n_1, \dots, n_d$. Hence

$$Q_1(n_1, \dots, n_d) = P(n_1, \dots, n_j, \dots, n_d) - P(n_1, \dots, n_j - 1, \dots, n_d).$$

This implies that $\deg Q_1 \leq \deg P - 1$. By the inductive assumption, the length of any maximal S_{++} -filter-regular sequence in $\bigcup_{j=1}^d S_j$ with respect to $M/x_1 M$ is not greater than $\deg Q_1 + 1$. So the length of any maximal S_{++} -filter-regular sequence in $\bigcup_{j=1}^d S_j$ with respect to M is not greater than $\deg Q_1 + 2$. Hence

$$\max L(M) \leq \deg Q_1 + 2 \leq (\deg P - 1) + 2 = \deg P + 1 = \ell.$$

By the above facts, we get $\max L(M) = \ell$. ■

The following theorem will give the criteria for the positivity of mixed multiplicities and characterize them in terms of lengths of modules.

Theorem 3.4. *Let S be a finitely generated standard d -graded algebra over an Artinian local ring A and M a finitely generated d -graded S -module such that $S_{(1,1,\dots,1)}$ is not contained in $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_S M}$. Set $\ell = \dim M^\Delta$. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) $e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) \neq 0$ if and only if there exists an S_{++} -filter-regular sequence $x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1}$ with respect to M consisting of k_1 elements of $S_1, \dots, k_d$ elements of S_d and $\dim \overline{M}^\Delta = 1$, where $\overline{M} = \frac{M}{(x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1})M}$.
- (ii) Suppose that $e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) \neq 0$ and $x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1}$ is an S_{++} -filter-regular sequence with respect to M consisting of k_1 elements of $S_1, \dots, k_d$ elements of S_d . Set $\overline{M} = \frac{M}{(x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1})M}$ and $r = r(S_+^\Delta; \overline{M}^\Delta)$ the reduction number of S_+^Δ with respect to $\overline{M}^\Delta$. Then $e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) = l_A \left[\frac{\overline{M}_n^\Delta}{(0_{\overline{M}^\Delta} : S_+^{\Delta\infty})_n} \right]$ for all $n \geq r$.

Proof. The proof of (i): First, we prove the necessary condition. By Proposition 3.3(ii), there exists an S_{++} -filter-regular sequence $x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1}$ with respect to M consisting of k_1 elements of $S_1, \dots, k_d$ elements of S_d . Applying Proposition 3.3(i), by induction on ℓ we have $\dim \overline{M}^\Delta = \ell - (\ell - 1) = 1$. Hence we obtain the necessary condition.

We turn to the proof of sufficient condition. The proof is by induction on $\ell \geq 1$. Denote by $P(n_1, \dots, n_d)$ the polynomial of $l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}]$. Note that by Remark 3.1, $\deg P = \ell - 1$. For $\ell = 1$, we have $\deg P = 0$. So $P(n_1, \dots, n_d)$ is a constant not

zero. Hence

$$e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) = e(M; 0, \dots, 0) = P(n_1, \dots, n_d) \neq 0.$$

If $\ell > 1$, since $k_1 + \dots + k_d = \ell - 1 > 0$, there exists $1 \leq i \leq d$ such that $k_i > 0$. And without loss of general, we may assume that $x_1 \in S_i$. Set $N = M/x_1 M$. Denote by $Q(n_1, \dots, n_d)$ the polynomial of $l_A[N_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}]$. By the proof of Proposition 3.3(i), we have

$$Q(n_1, \dots, n_d) = P(n_1, \dots, n_i, \dots, n_d) - P(n_1, \dots, n_i - 1, \dots, n_d).$$

This implies that $\deg Q \leq \deg P - 1 = \ell - 2$. Since $\dim \overline{M}^\Delta = 1$, it follows that $S_{(1,1,\dots,1)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_S \overline{M}}$ by Remark 3.2. By Proposition 2.6, there exists an S_{++} -filter-regular element x_ℓ in $\bigcup_{j=1}^d S_j$ with respect to $\overline{M}$. By Proposition 3.3(iii), $x_1, \dots, x_\ell$ is a maximal S_{++} -filter-regular sequence in $\bigcup_{j=1}^d S_j$ with respect to M . It is clear that $x_2, \dots, x_\ell$ is a maximal S_{++} -filter-regular sequence in $\bigcup_{j=1}^d S_j$ with respect to N . Denote by $L(N)$ the set of the lengths of maximal S_{++} -filter-regular sequences in $\bigcup_{j=1}^d S_j$ with respect to N . We immediately get $\max L(N) \geq \ell - 1$. By Remark 3.1 and Proposition 3.3(iii), $\max L(N) = \dim N^\Delta = \deg Q + 1$. Hence $\deg Q \geq \ell - 2$. By the above facts, we get $\deg Q = \ell - 2 = \deg P - 1$. From this equality and

$$Q(n_1, \dots, n_d) = P(n_1, \dots, n_i, \dots, n_d) - P(n_1, \dots, n_i - 1, \dots, n_d),$$

we have

$$e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) = e(N; k_1, \dots, k_i - 1, \dots, k_d).$$

It is a plain fact that $x_2, \dots, x_{\ell-1}$ is an S_{++} -filter-regular sequence of the length $\ell - 2$ with respect to N consisting of k_1 elements of $S_1, \dots, k_i - 1$ elements of $S_i, \dots, k_d$ elements of S_d . Set $\overline{N} = N/(x_2, \dots, x_{\ell-1})N$. It can be verified that $\overline{M} \cong \overline{N}$. Hence

$$\dim \overline{N}^\Delta = \dim \overline{M}^\Delta = 1.$$

Applying the inductive assumption for N , we have $e(N; k_1, \dots, k_i - 1, \dots, k_d) \neq 0$. So $e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) \neq 0$.

The proof of (ii): Applying Proposition 3.3(i), by induction on ℓ we get

$$0 \neq e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) = e(\overline{M}; 0, \dots, 0)$$

and $\dim \overline{M}^\Delta = \ell - (\ell - 1) = 1$. By Remark 3.1, $l_A[\overline{M}_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}]$ is a polynomial of degree 0 for all large $n_1, \dots, n_d$. Hence $e(\overline{M}; 0, \dots, 0) = l_A[\overline{M}_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}]$ for all large $n_1, \dots, n_d$.

By taking $n_1 = \dots = n_d = n$, where n is a sufficiently large positive integer, we have $e(\overline{M}; 0, \dots, 0) = l_A[\overline{M}_{(n, \dots, n)}] = l_A[\overline{M}_n^\Delta]$ for all large n . Consequently,

$$e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) = e(\overline{M}; 0, \dots, 0) = l_A[\overline{M}_n^\Delta]$$

for all large n . By Lemma 2.9, $l_A[\overline{M}_n^\Delta] = l_A\left[\frac{\overline{M}_r^\Delta}{(0_{\overline{M}^\Delta} : S_+^{\Delta\infty})_r}\right]$ for all large n and

$$l_A\left[\frac{\overline{M}_n^\Delta}{(0_{\overline{M}^\Delta} : S_+^{\Delta\infty})_n}\right] = l_A\left[\frac{\overline{M}_r^\Delta}{(0_{\overline{M}^\Delta} : S_+^{\Delta\infty})_r}\right]$$

for all $n \geq r$. Hence $e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) = l_A\left[\frac{\overline{M}_n^\Delta}{(0_{\overline{M}^\Delta} : S_+^{\Delta\infty})_n}\right]$ for all $n \geq r$. ■

From the proofs of Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 3.4. we immediately give the following result.

Corollary 3.5. *Let S be a finitely generated standard d -graded algebra over an Artinian local ring A and M a finitely generated d -graded S -module such that $S_{(1,1,\dots,1)}$ is not contained in $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_S M}$. Set $\ell = \dim M^\Delta$. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *If $e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) \neq 0$ then for any S_{++} -filter-regular sequence $x_1, \dots, x_n$ with respect to M consisting of $m_1 \leq k_1$ elements of $S_1, \dots, m_d \leq k_d$ elements of S_d we have $\dim \overline{M}^\Delta = \ell - n$ and $e(\overline{M}; k_1 - m_1, \dots, k_d - m_d) \neq 0$, where $\overline{M} = \frac{M}{(x_1, \dots, x_n)M}$.*
- (ii) *If there exists an S_{++} -filter-regular sequence $x_1, \dots, x_n$ with respect to M consisting of $m_1 \leq k_1$ elements of $S_1, \dots, m_d \leq k_d$ elements of S_d such that $\dim \overline{M}^\Delta = \ell - n$ and $e(\overline{M}; k_1 - m_1, \dots, k_d - m_d) \neq 0$, where $\overline{M} = \frac{M}{(x_1, \dots, x_n)M}$, then $e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) \neq 0$.*
- (iii) *Suppose that $e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) \neq 0$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is an S_{++} -filter-regular sequence with respect to M consisting of $m_1 \leq k_1$ elements of $S_1, \dots, m_d \leq k_d$ elements of S_d . Then $e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) = e(\overline{M}; k_1 - m_1, \dots, k_d - m_d)$ and $\dim \overline{M}^\Delta = \ell - n$, where $\overline{M} = \frac{M}{(x_1, \dots, x_n)M}$.*

Example 3.6: Let $(R, \mathfrak{n})$ be a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}$, and an ideal $\mathfrak{n}$ -primary J . Set $B = R/J$, B is an Artinian local ring. Let

$$S = B[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_t]$$

be the ring of polynomials in t indeterminates $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_t$ with coefficients in B . Then $\dim S = t$ and S is a finitely generated standard graded algebra over B . Since $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_t$ is a regular sequence, $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_t$ is an S_+ -filter-regular sequence. It is a plain fact that the reduction number of S_+ is $r = r(S_+) = 0$ and $\dim S/(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{t-1}) = 1$, and $S/(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{t-1}) \cong B[X_t]$. Hence by Theorem 3.4, $e(S; t-1) \neq 0$ and $e(S; t-1) = l_B(B) = l_R(R/J)$.

Example 3.7: Let k be an infinite field and let $x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3, z_1, z_2, z_3$ be indeterminates. Let $R = k[x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3, z_1, z_2, z_3]$ be a finitely generated standard 3-graded algebra over k with $\deg x_i = (1, 0, 0)$, $\deg y_i = (0, 1, 0)$, $\deg z_i = (0, 0, 1)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Set $I = (x_1, y_1, z_1) \cap (x_1, x_2) \cap (y_1, y_2) \cap (z_1, z_2)$ and $S = R/I$. Then S is a finitely generated standard 3-graded algebra over k and $\dim S = 7$. Denote by $f(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ the polynomial such that $f(n_1, n_2, n_3) = l_k[S_{(n_1, n_2, n_3)}]$ for all large n_1, n_2, n_3 . We have

$$\dim S/S_{(1+)} = \dim S/S_{(2+)} = \dim S/S_{(3+)} = 6 = \dim S - 1$$

and $\dim \frac{S}{S_{(i+)} + S_{(j+)}} = 3 < \dim S - 2$ for all $i, j = 1, 2, 3, i \neq j$. Hence by [HHRT, Theorem 4.3], $\deg f(n_1, n_2, n_3) = \dim S - 3 = 7 - 3 = 4$ and $\ell = \dim S^\Delta = 5$. For $x \in R$, denote by $\bar{x}$ the image of x in S . Direct coputation shows that $\bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_2, \bar{y}_3, \bar{y}_2$ is an S_{++} -filter-regular sequence of S consisting of 2 elements of S_1 and 2 elements of S_2 . It can be verified that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S}{(\bar{x}_3) : S_{++}^\infty} &\cong \frac{R}{[(x_3) + I] : R_{++}^\infty} = \frac{R}{(x_3) + (x_1, y_1, z_1) \cap (y_1, y_2) \cap (z_1, z_2)} \\ &= \frac{R}{(x_1, x_3, y_1, z_1) \cap (x_3, y_1, y_2) \cap (x_3, z_1, z_2)}, \\ \frac{S}{(\bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_2) : S_{++}^\infty} &\cong \frac{R}{[(x_2, x_3) + I] : R_{++}^\infty} = \frac{R}{(x_2, x_3) + (y_1, y_2) \cap (z_1, z_2)} \\ &= \frac{R}{(x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2) \cap (x_2, x_3, z_1, z_2)}, \\ \frac{S}{(\bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_2, \bar{y}_3) : S_{++}^\infty} &\cong \frac{R}{[(x_2, x_3, y_3) + I] : R_{++}^\infty} = \frac{R}{(x_2, x_3, y_3, z_1, z_2)} \\ &\cong k[x_1, y_1, y_2, z_3] \end{aligned}$$

and $\frac{S}{(\bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_2, \bar{y}_3, \bar{y}_2) : S_{++}^\infty} \cong k[x_1, y_1, z_3]$. Since

$$\dim \left[\frac{S}{(\bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_2, \bar{y}_3, \bar{y}_2) : S_{++}^\infty} \right]^\Delta = \dim \left[\frac{S}{(\bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_2, \bar{y}_3, \bar{y}_2) : S_{++}^\infty} \right]^\Delta = \dim k[x_1, y_1, z_3]^\Delta = 1,$$

by Theorem 3.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \neq e(S; 2, 2, 0) &= e\left(\frac{S}{(\bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_2, \bar{y}_3, \bar{y}_2) : S_{++}^\infty}; 0, 0, 0\right) = e\left(\frac{S}{(\bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_2, \bar{y}_3, \bar{y}_2) : S_{++}^\infty}; 0, 0, 0\right) \\ &= e(k[x_1, y_1, z_1]; 0, 0, 0) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, we also have $e(S; 2, 0, 2) = e(S; 0, 2, 2) = 1$. It can be verified that $\bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_1$ is also an S_{++} -filter-regular sequence consisting of 3 elements of S_1 . Since $\frac{S}{(\bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_1) : S_{++}^\infty} = 0$, $\bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_1$ is a maximal S_{++} -filter-regular sequence. Hence $e(S; 3, 1, 0) = e(S; 4, 0, 0) = 0$. Indeed, if $e(S; 3, 1, 0) \neq 0$ or $e(S; 4, 0, 0) \neq 0$ then by Corollary 3.5, $\bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_1$ is not a maximal S_{++} -filter-regular sequence. By symmetry, we get

$$\begin{aligned} e(S; 3, 1, 0) &= e(S; 1, 3, 0) = e(S; 3, 0, 1) = e(S; 1, 0, 3) = e(S; 0, 3, 1) \\ &= e(S; 0, 1, 3) = e(S; 4, 0, 0) = e(S; 0, 4, 0) = e(S; 0, 0, 4) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Upon simple computation, we show that $\bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_2, \bar{y}_3, \bar{z}_3$ is an S_{++} -filter-regular sequence of S consisting of 2 elements of S_1 , 1 element of S_2 and 1 element of S_3 . Since $\frac{S}{(\bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_2, \bar{y}_3, \bar{z}_3) : S_{++}^\infty} = 0$, $e(S; 2, 1, 1) = 0$. Indeed, if $e(S; 2, 1, 1) \neq 0$ then by Theorem 3.4(ii),

$$0 \neq e(S; 2, 1, 1) = l_k \left[\frac{S}{(\bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_2, \bar{y}_3, \bar{z}_3) : S_{++}^\infty} \right]_{(n, \dots, n)}$$

for all large n . By symmetry, we get

$$e(S; 2, 1, 1) = e(S; 1, 2, 1) = e(S; 1, 1, 2) = 0.$$

From the above facts, we also obtain

$$e(S) = \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=4} e(S; k_1, k_2, k_3) = 3$$

by [HHRT, Theorem 4.3].

4. Applications

This section is devoted to the discussion of applications of Section 3. We deal with the positivity and the relationship between mixed multiplicities of ideals and Hilbert-Samuel multiplicities.

Let $(R, \mathfrak{n})$ be a Noetherian local ring of Krull dimension $\dim R = d > 0$ with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}$ and infinite residue field $k = R/\mathfrak{n}$, and an $\mathfrak{n}$ -primary ideal J , and $I_1, \dots, I_s$ ideals of R such that $I = I_1 \cdots I_s$ is non-nilpotent. Remember that

$$T = \bigoplus_{n, n_1, \dots, n_s \geq 0} \frac{J^n I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s}}{J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s}}$$

is a finitely generated standard multigraded algebra over Artinian local ring R/J , and mixed multiplicities of T are mixed multiplicities of ideals $J, I_1, \dots, I_s$ (see [Ve] or [HHRT]). By [Vi], $\dim T^\Delta = \dim R/0 : I^\infty$. Set

$$\dim R/0 : I^\infty = q; \quad e(T; k_0, k_1, \dots, k_s) = e(J^{[k_0+1]}, I_1^{[k_1]}, \dots, I_s^{[k_s]}; R)$$

($k_0 + k_1 + \cdots + k_s = q - 1$). Then $e(J^{[k_0+1]}, I_1^{[k_1]}, \dots, I_s^{[k_s]}; R)$ is called the mixed multiplicity of ideals $J, I_1, \dots, I_s$ of type $(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_s)$.

Using different sequences, one transmuted mixed multiplicities into Hilbert-Samuel multiplicities, for instance: Risler and Teissier in 1973 [Te] by superficial sequences; Rees in 1984 [Re] by joint reductions; Viet in 2000 [Vi] by (FC)-sequences; Trung and Verma in 2007 [TV] by $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m)$ -superficial sequences.

Our approach is based on the results in Section 3. Assume that $x \in I_i$ and x^* is the image of x in T . We need to choose x satisfying the following properties:

(i): x^* is an T_{++} -filter-regular element of T .

(ii): $[T/x^*T]_{(m, m_1, \dots, m_s)} \cong \left[\bigoplus_{n, n_1, \dots, n_s \geq 0} \frac{J^n I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} (R/xR)}{J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} (R/xR)} \right]_{(m, m_1, \dots, m_s)}$ for all $m, m_1, \dots, m_s \gg 0$.

Under our point of view in this paper, both conditions are necessary to express mixed multiplicities of ideals in terms of Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity. Now we will characterize these conditions by equations of ideals.

Remark 4.1. Let $x \in I_i$ and x^* the image of x in T . It can be verified that x^* is an T_{++} -filter-regular element of T if and only if

$$(J^{n+1}I_1^{n_1} \dots I_i^{n_i+1} \dots I_s^{n_s} : x) \bigcap J^n I_1^{n_1} \dots I_s^{n_s} = J^{n+1}I_1^{n_1} \dots I_s^{n_s}$$

for all $n, n_1, \dots, n_s \gg 0$, and

$$[T/x^*T]_{(m, m_1, \dots, m_s)} \cong \frac{J^m I_1^{m_1} \dots I_s^{m_s}}{J^{m+1}I_1^{m_1} \dots I_s^{m_s} + xJ^m I_1^{m_1} \dots I_i^{m_i-1} \dots I_s^{m_s}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\bigoplus_{n, n_1, \dots, n_s \geq 0} \frac{J^n I_1^{n_1} \dots I_s^{n_s} (R/xR)}{J^{n+1}I_1^{n_1} \dots I_s^{n_s} (R/xR)} \right]_{(m, m_1, \dots, m_s)} \cong \frac{J^m I_1^{m_1} \dots I_s^{m_s} + (x)}{J^{m+1}I_1^{m_1} \dots I_s^{m_s} + (x)} \\ & \cong \frac{J^m I_1^{m_1} \dots I_s^{m_s}}{J^{m+1}I_1^{m_1} \dots I_s^{m_s} + (x) \bigcap J^m I_1^{m_1} \dots I_s^{m_s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$[T/x^*T]_{(m, m_1, \dots, m_s)} \cong \left[\bigoplus_{n, n_1, \dots, n_s \geq 0} \frac{J^n I_1^{n_1} \dots I_s^{n_s} (R/xR)}{J^{n+1}I_1^{n_1} \dots I_s^{n_s} (R/xR)} \right]_{(m, m_1, \dots, m_s)}$$

for all $m, m_1, \dots, m_s \gg 0$ if and only if

$$J^{m+1}I_1^{m_1} \dots I_s^{m_s} + (x) \bigcap J^m I_1^{m_1} \dots I_s^{m_s} = J^{m+1}I_1^{m_1} \dots I_s^{m_s} + xJ^m I_1^{m_1} \dots I_i^{m_i-1} \dots I_s^{m_s}$$

for all $m, m_1, \dots, m_s \gg 0$ or

$$(x) \bigcap J^m I_1^{m_1} \dots I_s^{m_s} \equiv xJ^m I_1^{m_1} \dots I_i^{m_i-1} \dots I_s^{m_s} \pmod{J^{m+1}I_1^{m_1} \dots I_s^{m_s}}$$

for all $m, m_1, \dots, m_s \gg 0$. Note that if

$$[T/x^*T]_{(m, m_1, \dots, m_s)} \cong \left[\bigoplus_{n, n_1, \dots, n_s \geq 0} \frac{J^n I_1^{n_1} \dots I_s^{n_s} (R/xR)}{J^{n+1}I_1^{n_1} \dots I_s^{n_s} (R/xR)} \right]_{(m, m_1, \dots, m_s)}$$

for all $m, m_1, \dots, m_s \gg 0$ then

$$\dim(T/x^*T)^\Delta = \dim R/(x) : I^\infty.$$

Denote by $\bar{J}, \bar{I}_1, \dots, \bar{I}_s$ the images of $J, I_1, \dots, I_s$ in $R/(x)$. Set $\dim(T/x^*T)^\Delta = t$. Then we have

$$e(T/x^*T; k_0, k_1, \dots, k_s) = e(\bar{J}^{[k_0+1]}, \bar{I}_1^{[k_1]}, \dots, \bar{I}_s^{[k_s]}; R/xR)$$

$$(k_0 + k_1 + \dots + k_s = t - 1).$$

The above comments are the reason for using the following sequences.

Definition 4.2. Let $\mathfrak{I}$ be an ideal such that $\mathfrak{I}I_1 \cdots I_s$ is non nilpotent. An element $x \in R$ is called a superficial element of $(I_1, \dots, I_s)$ with respect to $\mathfrak{I} = I_0$ if there exists $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, s\}$ such that $x \in I_i$ and

$$(i) : (\mathfrak{I}I_0^{n_0} \cdots I_i^{n_i+1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} : x) \cap I_0^{n_0} \cdots I_s^{n_s} = \mathfrak{I}I_0^{n_0} \cdots I_i^{n_i} \cdots I_s^{n_s} \text{ for all } n_0, n_1, \dots, n_s \gg 0.$$

$$(ii) : (x) \cap I_0^{n_0} \cdots I_i^{n_i+1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} = xI_0^{n_0} \cdots I_i^{n_i} \cdots I_s^{n_s} \text{ for } n_0, n_1, \dots, n_s \gg 0.$$

Let $x_1, \dots, x_t$ be a sequence in R . For each $i = 0, 1, \dots, t-1$, set $R_i = R/(x_1, \dots, x_i)$, $\bar{\mathfrak{I}} = \mathfrak{I}R_i$, $\bar{I}_j = I_jR_i$, $\bar{x}_{i+1}$ the image of x_{i+1} in R_i . Then $x_1, \dots, x_t$ is called a superficial sequence of $(I_1, \dots, I_s)$ with respect to $\mathfrak{I}$ if $\bar{x}_{i+1}$ is a superficial element of $(\bar{I}_1, \dots, \bar{I}_s)$ with respect to $\bar{\mathfrak{I}}$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, t-1$.

Remark 4.3. Assume that $x \in I_i$ and x^* is the image of x in T . Then

- (i) x^* is an T_{++} -filter-regular element of T if and only if x satisfies the condition (i) of a superficial element of $(I_1, \dots, I_s)$ with respect to J .

- (ii) Trung and Verma [TV] called that x is an i -superficial element for $J, I_1, \dots, I_s$ if $x \in I_i$ and the image x^{**} of x in $\mathfrak{T} = \bigoplus_{n, n_1, \dots, n_s \geq 0} \frac{J^n I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s}}{J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1+1} \cdots I_s^{n_s+1}}$ is an $\mathfrak{T}_{++}$ -filter-regular element in $\mathfrak{T}$, i.e.,

$$(J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1+1} \cdots I_i^{n_i+2} \cdots I_s^{n_s+1} : x) \cap J^n I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} = J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1+1} \cdots I_i^{n_i+1} \cdots I_s^{n_s+1}$$

for $n, n_1, \dots, n_s \gg 0$. And if $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m$ is a non-decreasing sequence of indices with $1 \leq \varepsilon_i \leq s$, then a sequence $x_1, \dots, x_m$ is an $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m)$ -superficial sequence for $J, I_1, \dots, I_s$ if for $i = 1, \dots, m$, $\bar{x}_i$ is an ε_i -superficial element for $\bar{J}, \bar{I}_1, \dots, \bar{I}_s$, where $\bar{x}_i, \bar{J}, \bar{I}_1, \dots, \bar{I}_s$ are the images of $x_i, J, I_1, \dots, I_s$ in

$$R/(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}).$$

Let x be an i -superficial element for $J, I_1, \dots, I_s$. We need to show that

$$(J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_i^{n_i+1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} : x) \cap J^n I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} = J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s}$$

for $n, n_1, \dots, n_s \gg 0$. Indeed, since

$$(J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1+1} \cdots I_i^{n_i+2} \cdots I_s^{n_s+1} : x) \cap J^n I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} = J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1+1} \cdots I_i^{n_i+1} \cdots I_s^{n_s+1}$$

for $n, n_1, \dots, n_s \gg 0$,

$$(J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_i^{n_i+1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} : x) \cap J^n I_1^{n_1-1} \cdots I_s^{n_s-1} = J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s}$$

for $n, n_1, \dots, n_s \gg 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (J^{n+1}I_1^{n_1} \dots I_i^{n_i+1} \dots I_s^{n_s} : x) \cap J^{n+1}I_1^{n_1} \dots I_s^{n_s} \\ &= [(J^{n+1}I_1^{n_1} \dots I_i^{n_i+1} \dots I_s^{n_s} : x) \cap J^n I_1^{n_1-1} \dots I_s^{n_s-1}] \cap J^{n+1}I_1^{n_1} \dots I_s^{n_s} \\ &= J^{n+1}I_1^{n_1} \dots I_s^{n_s} \end{aligned}$$

for $n, n_1, \dots, n_s \gg 0$. So x satisfies the condition (i) of a superficial element of $(I_1, \dots, I_s)$ with respect to J . On the other hand x satisfies also the condition (ii) of a superficial element of $(I_1, \dots, I_s)$ with respect to J by [TV]. Consequently, x is a superficial element of $(I_1, \dots, I_s)$ with respect to J .

- (iii) Note that since $I = I_1 \dots I_s$ is non-nilpotent, for each $i = 1, \dots, s$, there exists an i -superficial element for $J, I_1, \dots, I_s$ by [TV]. Hence in the case that I non nilpotent, the existence of superficial elements of $(I_1, \dots, I_s)$ with respect to J is universal by (ii).

By Remark 4.1 and Remark 4.3, one can replace “filter-regular elements” in Corollary 3.5 by “superficial elements” and get a version of Corollary 3.5 as follows:

Proposition 4.4. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{n})$ denote a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}$, infinite residue $k = R/\mathfrak{n}$, and an $\mathfrak{n}$ -primary ideal $J = I_0$, and $I_1, \dots, I_s$ ideals of R such that $I = I_1 \dots I_s$ is non nilpotent. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *If $e(J^{[k_0+1]}, I_1^{[k_1]}, \dots, I_s^{[k_s]}; R) \neq 0$ then for any superficial sequence $x_1, \dots, x_n$ of $(I_1, \dots, I_s)$ with respect to J consisting of $m_0 \leq k_0$ elements of $I_0, \dots, m_s \leq k_s$ elements of I_s we have $\dim R/(x_1, \dots, x_n) : I^\infty = \dim R/0 : I^\infty - n$ and $e(\bar{J}^{[k_0+1-m_0]}, \bar{I}_1^{[k_1-m_1]}, \dots, \bar{I}_s^{[k_s-m_s]}; \bar{R}) \neq 0$, where $\bar{J}, \bar{I}_1, \dots, \bar{I}_s$ are the images of $J, I_1, \dots, I_s$ in $\bar{R} = R/(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.*
- (ii) *If there exists a superficial sequence $x_1, \dots, x_n$ of $(I_1, \dots, I_s)$ with respect to J consisting of $m_0 \leq k_0$ elements of $I_0, \dots, m_s \leq k_s$ elements of I_s such that $\dim R/(x_1, \dots, x_n) : I^\infty = \dim R/0 : I^\infty - n$ and $e(\bar{J}^{[k_0+1-m_0]}, \bar{I}_1^{[k_1-m_1]}, \dots, \bar{I}_s^{[k_s-m_s]}; \bar{R}) \neq 0$, where $\bar{J}, \bar{I}_1, \dots, \bar{I}_s$ are the images of $J, I_1, \dots, I_s$ in $\bar{R} = R/(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, then $e(J^{[k_0+1]}, I_1^{[k_1]}, \dots, I_s^{[k_s]}; R) \neq 0$.*
- (iii) *Suppose that $e(J^{[k_0+1]}, I_1^{[k_1]}, \dots, I_s^{[k_s]}; R) \neq 0$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is a superficial sequence of $(I_1, \dots, I_s)$ with respect to J consisting of $m_0 \leq k_0$ elements of $I_0, \dots, m_s \leq k_s$ elements of I_s . Denote by $\bar{R} = R/(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\bar{J}, \bar{I}_1, \dots, \bar{I}_s$ the images of $J, I_1, \dots, I_s$ in $\bar{R}$. Then*

$$e(J^{[k_0+1]}, I_1^{[k_1]}, \dots, I_s^{[k_s]}; R) = e(\bar{J}^{[k_0+1-m_0]}, \bar{I}_1^{[k_1-m_1]}, \dots, \bar{I}_s^{[k_s-m_s]}; \bar{R}).$$

Recall that if I is non nilpotent, $e(J^{[k_0+1]}, I_1^{[0]}, \dots, I_s^{[0]}; R) = e(J; R/0 : I^\infty)$ by [Vi]. By Proposition 4.4, $e(J^{[k_0+1]}, I_1^{[k_1]}, \dots, I_s^{[k_s]}; R) \neq 0$ if and only if there exists a superficial sequence $x_1, \dots, x_n$ of $(I_1, \dots, I_s)$ with respect to J consisting of k_1 elements of I_1 , $\dots$, k_s elements of I_s such that

$$\dim R/(x_1, \dots, x_n) : I^\infty = \dim R/0 : I^\infty - n$$

and $e(\bar{J}^{[k_0+1]}, \bar{I}_1^{[0]}, \dots, \bar{I}_s^{[0]}; \bar{R}) \neq 0$, where $\bar{J}, \bar{I}_1, \dots, \bar{I}_s$ are the images of $J, I_1, \dots, I_s$ in $\bar{R} = R/(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. But since $n < \dim R/0 : I^\infty$, $\dim R/(x_1, \dots, x_n) : I^\infty > 0$. Hence $\bar{I} = \bar{I}_1 \cdots \bar{I}_s$ is non nilpotent. Thus

$$e(\bar{J}^{[k_0+1]}, \bar{I}_1^{[0]}, \dots, \bar{I}_s^{[0]}; \bar{R}) = e(J; R/(x_1, \dots, x_n) : I^\infty) \neq 0.$$

This fact follows that $e(J^{[k_0+1]}, I_1^{[k_1]}, \dots, I_s^{[k_s]}; R) \neq 0$ if and only if there exists a superficial sequence $x_1, \dots, x_n$ of $(I_1, \dots, I_s)$ with respect to J consisting of k_1 elements of I_1 , $\dots$, k_s elements of I_s such that

$$\dim R/(x_1, \dots, x_n) : I^\infty = \dim R/0 : I^\infty - n.$$

Then as an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.4, we obtain the result as follows.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{n})$ denote a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}$, infinite residue $k = R/\mathfrak{n}$, and an $\mathfrak{n}$ -primary ideal J , and $I_1, \dots, I_s$ ideals of R such that $I = I_1 \cdots I_s$ is non nilpotent. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) $e(J^{[k_0+1]}, I_1^{[k_1]}, \dots, I_s^{[k_s]}; R) \neq 0$ if and only if there exists a superficial sequence $x_1, \dots, x_t$ of $(I_1, \dots, I_s)$ with respect to J consisting of k_1 elements of $I_1, \dots$, k_s elements of I_s and $\dim R/(x_1, \dots, x_t) : I^\infty = \dim R/0 : I^\infty - t$.
- (ii) Suppose that $e(J^{[k_0+1]}, I_1^{[k_1]}, \dots, I_s^{[k_s]}; R) \neq 0$ and $x_1, \dots, x_t$ is a superficial sequence of $(I_1, \dots, I_s)$ with respect to J consisting of k_1 elements of $I_1, \dots$, k_s elements of I_s . Set $\bar{R} = R/(x_1, \dots, x_t) : I^\infty$. Then

$$e(J^{[k_0+1]}, I_1^{[k_1]}, \dots, I_s^{[k_s]}; R) = e(J; \bar{R}).$$

Remark 4.6. Return to Theorem 1.4 in [TV], assume that $x_1, \dots, x_m$ is an

$(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m)$ -superficial sequence for $J, I_1, \dots, I_s$ as in [TV].

Then $x_1, \dots, x_m$ is a superficial sequence of $(I_1, \dots, I_s)$ with respect to J by Remark 4.3 (ii). Hence Theorem 4.5 covers the main result of Trung and Verma [TV].

The notion of superficial elements goes back to P. Samuel [ZS]. The classical theory of superficial elements is an important tool in local algebra and has been continually developed (see e.g. [K],[HS], [RV]). Recall that x is called an I_i -superficial element of R with respect to $(I_1, \dots, I_s)$ if $x \in I_i$ and there exists a non-negative integer c such that

$$(I_1^{n_1} \dots I_i^{n_i+1} \dots I_s^{n_s} : x) \bigcap I_1^{n_1} \dots I_i^c \dots I_s^{n_s} = I_1^{n_1} \dots I_s^{n_s}$$

for all $n_i \geq c$ and for all non-negative integers $n_1, \dots, n_{i-1}, n_{i+1}, \dots, n_s$.

Remark 4.7. Let $J = I_0$ be an $\mathfrak{n}$ -primary ideal. It can be verified that if x is an I_i -superficial element of R with respect to $(J, I_1, \dots, I_s)$ then

$$(J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1} \dots I_i^{n_i+1} \dots I_s^{n_s} : x) \bigcap J^n I_1^{n_1} \dots I_s^{n_s} = J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1} \dots I_s^{n_s}$$

for $n, n_1, \dots, n_s \gg 0$. Indeed, since J is $\mathfrak{n}$ -primary, there exists $u > c$ such that $I_i^{n_i} \subseteq J I_i^c$ for all $n_i \geq u$. Consequently, $J^n I_1^{n_1} \dots I_s^{n_s} \subseteq J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1} \dots I_i^c \dots I_s^{n_s}$ for all $n_i \geq u$. Since

$$(J^n I_1^{n_1} \dots I_i^{n_i+1} \dots I_s^{n_s} : x) \bigcap J^n I_1^{n_1} \dots I_i^c \dots I_s^{n_s} = J^n I_1^{n_1} \dots I_s^{n_s},$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1} \dots I_i^{n_i+1} \dots I_s^{n_s} : x) \bigcap J^n I_1^{n_1} \dots I_i^{n_i} \dots I_s^{n_s} \\ & \subseteq (J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1} \dots I_i^{n_i+1} \dots I_s^{n_s} : x) \bigcap J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1} \dots I_i^c \dots I_s^{n_s} \\ & = J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1} \dots I_i^{n_i} \dots I_s^{n_s} \end{aligned}$$

for all $n_i \geq u$. So

$$(J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1} \dots I_i^{n_i+1} \dots I_s^{n_s} : x) \bigcap J^n I_1^{n_1} \dots I_s^{n_s} = J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1} \dots I_s^{n_s}$$

for all $n, n_1, \dots, n_s \gg 0$. Hence if x is an I_i -superficial element of R with respect to $(J, I_1, \dots, I_s)$ then x satisfies the condition (i) of a superficial element of $(I_1, \dots, I_s)$ with respect to J . Now we need to prove that if $I_1, \dots, I_s$ are $\mathfrak{n}$ -primary, then x satisfies also the condition (ii) of a superficial element of $(I_1, \dots, I_s)$ with respect to J . Indeed, by [HS, Lemma 17.2.4],

$$J^n I_1^{n_1} \dots I_s^{n_s} : x = (0 : x) + J^n I_1^{n_1} \dots I_i^{n_i-1} \dots I_s^{n_s}$$

for all $n, n_1, \dots, n_s \gg 0$. Multiplying the last equation by x yields

$$J^n I_1^{n_1} \dots I_s^{n_s} \bigcap (x) = x J^n I_1^{n_1} \dots I_i^{n_i-1} \dots I_s^{n_s}$$

for $n, n_1, \dots, n_s \gg 0$. Hence x satisfies the condition (ii) of a superficial element of $(I_1, \dots, I_s)$ with respect to J . So if $I_1, \dots, I_s$ are $\mathfrak{n}$ -primary and x is an I_i -superficial element, then x is a superficial element of $(I_1, \dots, I_s)$ with respect to J .

Remark 4.8. Let $J = I_0, I_1, \dots, I_s$ be $\mathfrak{n}$ -primary ideals and x an I_i -superficial element. Then

$$\dim R/(x) : I^\infty = \dim R/(x) = \dim R - 1$$

and for any $e(J^{[k_0+1]}, I_1^{[k_1]}, \dots, I_s^{[k_s]}; R)$ with $(k_0 + k_1 + \dots + k_s = \dim R - 1 = d - 1)$, there exist $x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots, x_d$ of R consisting of k_1 elements of $I_1, \dots, k_i$ elements of $I_i, \dots, k_s$ elements of I_s , $x_{n+1}, \dots, x_d$ are $k_0 + 1$ elements of J such that sequence $x_1, \dots, x_d$ is a superficial sequence. Hence by Proposition 4.4(iii),

$$e(J^{[k_0+1]}, I_1^{[k_1]}, \dots, I_s^{[k_s]}; R) = e(\bar{J}^{[1]}, \bar{I}_1^{[0]}, \dots, \bar{I}_s^{[0]}; \bar{R}),$$

where $\bar{R} = R/(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})$, $\bar{J} = J\bar{R}$, $\bar{I}_1 = I_1\bar{R}, \dots, \bar{I}_s = I_s\bar{R}$. Since $\dim \bar{R} = 1$,

$$e(\bar{J}^{[1]}, \bar{I}_1^{[0]}, \dots, \bar{I}_s^{[0]}; \bar{R}) = l_R\left(\frac{R}{(x_1, \dots, x_d)}\right) - l_R\left(\frac{(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}) : x_d}{(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})}\right)$$

by [HS, Theorem 17.4.6]. Since $x_1, \dots, x_d$ is an $\mathfrak{n}$ -filter-regular sequence,

$$l_R\left(\frac{R}{(x_1, \dots, x_d)}\right) - l_R\left(\frac{(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}) : x_d}{(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})}\right) = e(x_1, \dots, x_d; R)$$

by [AB]. So we get the result of Risler and Teissier in [Te].

Finally, from the above content we would like to give the following conclusion.

Remark 4.9. It should be noted that all results of this section still are true if the condition (ii) of Definition 4.2 is replaced by the condition:

$$(x) \bigcap I_0^{n_0} \dots I_i^{n_i} \dots I_s^{n_s} \equiv x I_0^{n_0} \dots I_i^{n_i-1} \dots I_s^{n_s} \pmod{I_0^{n_0+1} \dots I_i^{n_i} \dots I_s^{n_s}}$$

for $n_0, n_1, \dots, n_s \gg 0$ (see Remark 4.1).

References

- [AB] A. Auslander and D. A. Buchsbaum, *Codimension and multiplicity*, Ann. Math. 68(1958), 625-657.
- [Ba] P. B. Bhattacharya, *The Hilbert function of two ideals*, Proc. Cambridge. Philos. Soc. 53(1957), 568-575.
- [BS] M. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, No 60, Cambridge University Press 1998.
- [HHRT] M. Herrmann, E. Hyry, J. Ribbe, Z. Tang, *Reduction numbers and multiplicities of multigraded structures*, J. Algebra 197(1997), 311-341.

- [HS] C. Huneke and I. Swanson, *Integral Closure of Ideals, Rings, and Modules*, London Mathematical Lecture Note Series 336, Cambridge University Press (2006).
- [Hy] E. Hyry, *The diagonal subring and the Cohen-Macaulay property of a multigraded ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 351(1999), 2213-2232.
- [K] D. Kirby, *A note on superficial elements of an ideal in a local ring*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), 14 (1963), 21-28.
- [KV] D. Katz, J. K. Verma, *Extended Rees algebras and mixed multiplicities*, Math. Z. 202(1989), 111-128.
- [KR1] D. Kirby and D. Rees, *Multiplicities in graded rings I: the general theory*, Contemporary Mathematics 159(1994), 209 - 267.
- [KR2] D. Kirby and D. Rees, *Multiplicities in graded rings II: integral equivalence and the Buchsbaum - Rim multiplicity*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 119 (1996), 425 - 445.
- [KT] S. Kleiman and A. Thorup, *Mixed Buchsbaum - Rim multiplicities*, Amer. J. Math. 118(1996), 529-569.
- [MV] N. T. Manh and D. Q. Viet, *Mixed multiplicities of modules over Noetherian local rings*, Tokyo J. Math. Vol. 29. No. 2, (2006), 325-345.
- [NR] D. G. Northcott, D. Rees, *Reduction of ideals in local rings*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 50(1954), 145 - 158.
- [Re] D. Rees, *Generalizations of reductions and mixed multiplicities*, J. London. Math. Soc. 29(1984), 397-414.
- [Ro] P. Roberts, *Local Chern classes, multiplicities and perfect complexes*, Memoire Soc. Math. France 38(1989), 145 - 161.
- [RV] M. E. Rossi and G. Valla, *Hilbert function of filtered modules*, arXiv: 0710.2346 (2008).
- [SV] J. Stuckrad and W. Vogel, *Buchsbaum rings and applications*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften. Berlin, 1986.
- [Sw] I. Swanson, *Mixed multiplicities, joint reductions and quasi-unmixed local rings*, J. London Math. Soc. 48(1993), no. 1, 1 - 14.
- [Te] B. Teisier, *Cycles évanescents, sections planes, et conditions de Whitney*, Singularities à Cargèse, 1972. Astérisque,
- [Tr1] N. V. Trung, *Reduction exponents and degree bound for the defining equation of graded rings*, Proc. Amer. Mat. Soc. 101(1987), 229-234.
- [Tr2] N. V. Trung, *Positivity of mixed multiplicities*, J. Math. Ann. 319(2001), 33 - 63.
- [TV] N. V. Trung and J. Verma, *Mixed multiplicities of ideals versus mixed volumes of polytopes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359(2007), 4711-4727.
- [Ve] J. K. Verma, *Multigraded Rees algebras and mixed multiplicities*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra 77(1992), 219-228.
- [Vi] D. Q. Viet, *Mixed multiplicities of arbitrary ideals in local rings*, Comm. Algebra. 28(8) (2000), 3803-3821.
- [ZS] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra*, Vol II, Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.